Case: 5:18-cv-00182-JRA Doc #: 143-16 Filed: 02/09/22 1 of 9. PageID #: 8930

# **EXHIBIT B**

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

BRITTANY BURK, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

**DIRECT ENERGY, LP,** 

Defendant.

Case No. 4:19-cv-663

JOINT STATEMENT REGARDING DISCOVERY PLAN

## **JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN**

In advance of the conference set for June 11, 2020, Plaintiff Brittany Burk and Defendant Direct Energy, LP submit the following Joint Discovery Plan pursuant to this Court's Order, Dkt. 56.

#### **Plaintiff's Statement of the Case:**

This action under the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA") arises from Ms. Burk's allegations that Direct Energy caused prerecorded and automated telephone calls to be placed to her and proposed class members' cellular telephones without their prior express consent. Dkt. 1. Under the TCPA, Direct Energy is liable for all the calls at issue because Direct Energy's agents, including Total Marketing Concepts ("TMC"), placed the calls pursuant to standard contracts with Direct Energy.

#### **Direct Energy's Statement of the Case:**

Direct Energy denies the allegations. Direct Energy did not place the calls and texts to Ms. Burk or other putative class members. Rather, Direct Energy contracted with Total Marketing Concepts ("TMC"), an independent contractor, to conduct the telemarketing campaign at issue.

Dkt. 24. Direct Energy has identified two TMC vendors, Britebox, LLC and Teledrip, LLC that were responsible for generating the leads, including Ms. Burk's and other class members' telephone numbers (Britebox), and placing calls and texts to Ms. Burk and other class members (Teledrip). Direct Energy has no contractual relationship with either Britebox or Teledrip, and both were unknown to Direct Energy prior to this litigation. If TMC and/or its vendors were placing calls/text without the recipient's prior express written consent—as Plaintiff alleges—then TMC was operating outside of any permitted authority. As such, Direct Energy has asserted third-party claims against TMC.

### **Outstanding Discovery Tasks**

The parties have exchanged written discovery and issued third-party subpoenas. Below is a status summary of completed and anticipated discovery. The Parties will update these tables for the Court's review as discovery progresses if new issues arise.

Written Discovery		
Party/Non-party	<b>Description of Outstanding Issues</b>	Status
Direct Energy	Direct Energy is in the process of	Direct Energy maintains it is on
(Defendant)	reviewing emails collected from its	track to achieve substantial
	employee Brian Flom, the Direct	completion of its review and
	Energy Texas telemarketing vendor	production of Brian Flom's emails
	manager, for responsiveness,	by July 10.
	relevance, and privilege. Mr.	
	Flom's emails with families total	
	25,722 documents and were	
	collected using search terms	
	provided to Ms. Burk's counsel.	
	Direct Energy's counsel collected the email accounts of key TMC custodians from TMC's offices in January 2020 after filing a motion for preliminary injunction. <i>See</i> Dkt. 33. The accounts contained millions of emails. Direct Energy used search terms provided to Plaintiff to identify 41,809 potentially	Direct Energy maintains it is on track to achieve substantial completion of its review and production of emails collected from TMC custodians by July 10.

	responsive and relevant emails (with families). Such records include communications with TMC regarding Direct Energy telemarketing campaigns, communications with and opt-ins provided by Britebox, and communications and call logs with Teledrip. Direct Energy is in the process of reviewing these emails in anticipation of production.  Plaintiff maintains that Direct Energy must also search for and produce any dialer manuals; policies, procedures, and training; complaints, records, and/or investigations regarding calling Practices; and emails,	The parties will meet and confer about RFPs raised by Plaintiff and submit any unresolved issues to the Court by next week.
	communications, and other documents referencing TMC, Teledrip, and/or Britebox in its possession.	
Ms. Burk (Plaintiff)	Ms. Burk's cell phone text and call history between December 1, 2018 and February 28, 2019 [RFP 4]  Copies of bills and/or contracts with Ms. Burk's cell phone provider [RFP 6 & 7]  Ms. Burk's browser histories for December 2018 for all devices. [RFP 9]	The parties will meet and confer about RFPs raised by Direct Energy and submit any unresolved issues to the Court by next week.
TMC (Third-Party Defendant)	As noted above, Direct Energy collected the email accounts of certain TMC custodians in January 2020. Because of TMC's privilege concerns, TMC's agreement to this collection was conditioned upon Direct Energy directing its IT vendor to identify and extract all emails that included any TMC	Direct Energy's vendor is sending the potentially privileged emails to TMC. TMC has not yet provided a privilege log. Direct Energy maintains it is on track to achieve substantial completion of its review and production of emails collected from TMC custodians by July 10.

Britebox (Third-Party)	lawyer's email address. Direct Energy agreed to do so, provided that TMC then reviewed the potentially privileged emails and provided a privilege log.  Direct Energy issued a subpoena to Britebox, and Plaintiff issued two subpoenas to Britebox.  Ms. Burk seeks lead generation information, policies and procedures, agreements, statements of work, and other communications with Direct Energy, TMC, and/or Teledrip	Britebox produced 73 pages to Plaintiff and Direct Energy. Counsel for Britebox advised Plaintiff's counsel it produced all responsive documents in its possession in response to Plaintiff's first subpoena and that Plaintiff should obtain the additional requested documents from TMC.
Teledrip (Third-Party)	Both parties issued subpoenas to Teledrip.  Teledrip provided relevant calling records to Direct Energy. Direct Energy is cross-referencing those calling records against a list of Britebox opt-ins in an attempt to identify the calls potentially at issue and will produce a those records of Teledrip call/texts to Britebox leads.  Plaintiff also seeks dialer manual, policies and procedures, agreements, statements of work, and other communications with Direct Energy, TMC, and/or Britebox. Direct Energy is not in possession of any such documents.	Direct Energy maintains it is on track to achieve substantial completion of its review and production of the call records by July 10.  Teledrip represented to Plaintiff that it did not have any documents in its possession that were responsive to Plaintiff's first subpoena. Teledrip has not, however, responded or objected to Plaintiff's second subpoena, which had an April 30, 2020, compliance date.
Tyson Chavarie (former TMC employee)	Direct Energy intends to issue a subpoena duces tecum to Mr. Chavarie in advance of his deposition.	

Upon substantial completion of document production on July 10, 2020, the parties anticipate taking the depositions listed below. Additional discovery, however, may require revisions to this list.

Depositions:		
Deponent	Scheduled Date	Status
Direct Energy 30(b)(6)	TBD	
Plaintiff Brittany Burk	TBD	
Britebox 30(b)(6)	TBD	
Teledrip 30(b)(6)	TBD	
TMC 30(b)(6)	TBD	
Tyson Chavarie	TBD	
Plaintiff Expert	TBD	
Direct Energy Expert	TBD	

As a result, the Parties have agreed to move the "internal" deadlines set in this case pursuant to the Court's guidance. The Parties have agreed on the following schedule:

Defendant will make all reasonable efforts to substantially Complete its Production of Discovery from Third Parties TMC, TeleDrip, and/or BriteBox by this date	July 10, 2020
ADR Deadline	September 1, 2020
Plaintiff's Expert Reports and Expert Witness List	September 15, 2020
Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification	September 15, 2020
Defendant's Response to Motion for Class Certification	October 13, 2020
Plaintiff's Reply in Support of Motion for Class Certification	October 20, 2020
Defendant's Expert Reports and Expert Witness List	October 6, 2020
Hearing on Class Certification	October 30, 2020
Discovery Cut-off	November 1, 2020
Motions Deadline	November 1, 2020

Joint Pretrial Order (Defendant to provide	November 17, 2020
final version to Plaintiff)	
Joint Pretrial Order (Plaintiff to file with	November 20, 2020
Court)	
Trial	December 2020 or
	January 2021

Dated: June 10, 2020 By: /s/ Daniel M. Hutchinson

## LIEFF CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN

Daniel M. Hutchinson (pro hac vice)
dhutchinson@lchb.com
Nimish R. Desai (Texas Bar No. 24105238)
(Southern District of Texas Bar No. 3370303)
ndesai@lchb.com
Evan J. Ballan (pro hac vice)
eballan@lchb.com
275 Battery Street, 29th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-3339

Telephone: (415) 956-1000 Facsimile: (415) 956-1008

## LIEFF CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN

Jonathan D. Selbin (*pro hac vice*) jselbin@lchb.com 250 Hudson Street, 8th Floor New York, NY 10013

Telephone: (212) 355-9500 Facsimile: (212) 355-959

#### MASON LIETZ & KLINGER, LLP

Gary M. Klinger (*pro hac vice*) 227 W. Monroe Street, Suite 2100

Chicago, Illinois 60606 Telephone: (312) 283-3814

E-mail: gklinger@masonllp.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class and

Subclass

Dated: June 10, 2020 By: /s/ William B. Thomas

#### MCDOWELL HETHERINGTON LLP

Michael D. Matthews, Jr.
Texas Bar No. 24051009
Matt.Matthews@mhllp.com
William B. Thomas
Texas Bar No. 24083965
William.Thomas@mhllp.com
1001 Fannin Street, Suite 2700
Houston, Texas 77002

Telephone: (713) 337-5580 Facsimile: (713) 337-8850

Counsel for Defendant

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was duly served upon all Counsel of record via electronic service, in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on June 10, 2020. In addition, a true and correct copy was served upon Third-Party Defendant Total Marketing Concepts via U.S. mail addressed to its registered agent.

/s/ Gary M. Klinger Counsel for Plaintiff